

ಮೈಸೂರು ವಿಧಾನ ಸಭೆ

## MYSORE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

*Friday, 22nd August 1969*

The House met in the Assembly Chamber, Vidhana Soudha, Bangalore, at One of the Clock.

MR. SPEAKER (SRI S. D. KOTHAVALI) in the Chair.

### STARRED QUESTIONS

(to which oral answers were given)

#### Rural Water Supply Scheme in Belgaum Taluk

4. SRI P. B. NANDIHALI (Uchagaon).—

Will the Minister for Development be pleased to state :—

(a) whether there is great necessity for taking up of water supply schemes for drinking purpose for the following villages in Belgaum Taluk ;

- (1) Yellur
- (2) Rajhansgad
- (3) Santibastwad
- (4) Janewadi
- (5) Hangirgi
- (6) Basarikatti
- (7) Khadarwadi

has come to the notice of the Government ;

(b) whether the request is being made to Government often on the floor of this House from time to time ;

(c) the action taken by Government in respect of each scheme ?

**Sri P. M. NADGOUDA** (Minister for Development).—

(a) Representations have been received from the following villages praying for provision of protected water supply :

- (1) Yellur
- (2) Rajhansgad
- (3) Santibastwad
- (4) Hangirgi
- (5) Khadarwadi.

(b) Yes.

(c) In respect of four villages *viz.*, Yellur, Rajhansgad, Janewad and Hangirgi, detailed investigations have to be done. Action is being taken by the Executive Engineer, P.H.E. Division, Belgaum for investigation and preparation of detailed plans and estimates.

In respect of Basrikatte village detailed plans and estimates have been prepared and are under scrutiny by the Executive Engineer, PHE Division, Belgaum.

Estimates have been prepared in respect of Santibastwad amounting to Rs. 42,000.

In respect of Khadarwadi village investigation is completed and plans and estimates are under preparation.

**Sri P. B. NANDIHALI**.—I have requested and explained to the Hon. Minister that in all these villages people cannot get even a cup of water to drink. May I request the Hon. Minister once again in this Gandhi Centenary year to take, immediate action to sanction water supply schemes to these villages ?

**Sri P. M. NADGOUDA**.—The Government has considered this problem in all its aspects and the Government has decided that only such of the schemes would be considered where the popular contribution of 10 per cent is forthcoming and the Village Panchayat has not got any overdue and the Panchayat is able to maintain the National Rural Water Supply.

**Sri P. B. NANDIHALI**.—I promise the hon. Minister to take the responsibility of collecting the popular contribution. Will the hon. Minister give an assurance that the water supply schemes for these villages would be sanctioned ?

**Sri P. M. NADGOUDA**.—If the three conditions, as I have already stated, are fulfilled, Government is prepared to consider the schemes.

**Sri B. B. SAYANAK**.—Is it not the duty of the Government to supply drinking water to all the people at least after twenty years of independence ?

**Sri P. M. NADGOUDA.**—Government has accepted to supply water under water supply schemes but not under the National Rural Water supply schemes. Government is prepared to sanction as much money as possible for taking up drinking water wells.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಸದಾಶಿವಪ್ಪ ಪಾಟೀಲ್.**—ಈಗ ಗ್ರಾಮಾಂತರ ನೀರು ಸರಬರಾಜು ಯೋಜನೆಯು ಸಂಬಂಧದಲ್ಲಿ ಪಾಪ್ಯುಲರ್ ಕಾಂಟ್ರಿಬ್ಯೂಷನ್‌ನನ್ನು 10 ಪರ್ಸೆಂಟ್, ಸ್ಪೆಷಲ್ ಗೌರ್ಮೆಂಟ್ ನವರು 40 ಪರ್ಸೆಂಟ್ ಮತ್ತು ಸೆಂಟ್ರಲ್ ಗೌರ್ಮೆಂಟ್‌ನವರು 50 ಪರ್ಸೆಂಟ್ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಕೊಡುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಪರ್‌ಕ್ಯಾಪಿಟಾ ಇನ್‌ಕಂ 10 ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಮೇಲ್ಪಟ್ಟು ಇರುವ ಗ್ರಾಮಗಳ ನೀರು ಸರಬರಾಜು ಯೋಜನೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ತಾವು ಸಿಫಾರಸ್ಸು ಮಾಡಿ ಅವುಗಳಿಗೂ ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದಿಂದ ಮಂಜೂರಾತಿಯನ್ನು ತರಿಸುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

**ಶ್ರೀ ಪಿ. ಎಂ. ನಾಡಗೌಡ.**—ಅದನ್ನು ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಷ್ಟವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂದು ಕಾಣುತ್ತದೆ.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಚ್. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.**—ಈ ಯೋಜನೆಯ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಯಾವ ಹಳ್ಳಿಗಳು ತಮ್ಮ ಪಾಲಿನ 10 ಪರ್‌ಸೆಂಟ್ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿವೆಯೋ ಅಂತಹ ಹಳ್ಳಿಗಳಿಗೆ ತಡವಾಗಿ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಯಾವ ಹಳ್ಳಿಗಳು ಈ ಯೋಜನೆಯ ಉಪಯೋಗವನ್ನು ಪಡೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು 10 ಪರ್‌ಸೆಂಟ್ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲವೋ ಅಂತಹ ಹಳ್ಳಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಜಾಗೃತ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಇಂತಹ ಉದಾಹರಣೆಗಳು ತಮ್ಮ ಇರಾಖೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇವೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಇಂತಹ ಕೇಸ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ತನಿಖೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಹಣ ಕಟ್ಟಿದಂತಹ ಹಳ್ಳಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಈ ಯೋಜನೆಯನ್ನು ತೀವ್ರವಾಗಿ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಏರ್ಪಾಡು ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

**ಶ್ರೀ ಪಿ. ಎಂ. ನಾಡಗೌಡ.**—ಅಂತಹ ಕೇಸ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ನನ್ನ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತಂದರೆ ಇವತ್ತಿನ ದಿವಸವೇ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಈ ಯೋಜನೆಯನ್ನು ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾದರೆ ಸ್ಥಳೀಯ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿಗಳು ತಮ್ಮ ಭಾಗದ 10 ಪರ್‌ಸೆಂಟ್ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟಿರಬೇಕು, ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೂ ಮತ್ತು ಆ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿಗೂ ಸಾಲ ವಗೈರೆ ಇರಬಾರದು ಮತ್ತು ಈ ಯೋಜನೆಯನ್ನು ಕೈಕೊಂಡಮೇಲೆ ಅದನ್ನು ಮೇನ್‌ಟೇನ್ ಮಾಡುವ ಶಕ್ತಿ ಇರಬೇಕು. ಈ ಮೂರು ಕಾರಣಗಳನ್ನು ಪೂರೈಸುವುದಾದರೆ ಅಂತಹ ಹಳ್ಳಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಈ ಯೋಜನೆಯನ್ನು ಇವತ್ತಿನ ದಿವಸವೇ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಲಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

### **Ranibennur Taluk Agricultural Produce Co-operative Society**

#### **12. Sri N. L. BELLAD (Ranibennur).—**

Will the Minister for Development be pleased to state :—

(a) whether the amount of Rs. 22,000 spent for producing Hybrid Seed, by the Chairman, Ranibennur Taluk Agricultural Produce Co-operative Marketing Society has been shown as loss to the society and whether the same has been reccredited to the society's account as per the opinion expressed by the Auditor ;

(b) the reasons for not superseding the society even though the Chairman of the society has caused loss of lakhs of rupees to the society by showing the expenditure according to his whims and fancies ?

**Sri B. L. GOWDA (Deputy Minister for Co-operation).—**

(a) The Auditor has not expressed such opinion.

(b) The Managing Committee has been superseded.